

## Details of the Federation's PLOG Request

The Federation's proposal is for an increase in the funding envelope of approximately \$6.4 million per year over five years.

Anticipated Year of Introduction	Per Capita Amount for all libraries	AREA SUPPLEMENTS				Federation's PLOG COST
		Southern Urban Libraries	Southern Rural Libraries	Northern Urban Libraries	Northern Rural Libraries	
Year 1: 2013	\$1.81	\$0	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$6,000	\$25.2M
Year 2: 2014	\$2.31	\$0	\$3,900	\$3,900	\$7,800	\$31.5M
Year 3: 2015	\$2.79	\$0	\$4,800	\$4,800	\$9,600	\$38.0M
Year 4: 2016	\$3.27	\$0	\$5,700	\$5,700	\$11,400	\$44.5M
Year 5: 2017	\$3.74	\$0	\$6,600	\$6,600	\$13,200	\$50.9M

A spreadsheet accompanies this document that shows the impact of the proposed funding model on each of the 387 current recipients of provincial operating grants.

- ✓ No library is to receive less than \$3,000, \$3,900, \$4,800, \$5,700 and \$6,600 in Years 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, respectively.
- ✓ No library is to receive less than current funding.
- ✓ Pay equity funding should be excluded from these calculations of provincial operating grants and continue to be paid at current rates.
- ✓ The practice of grand-parenting needs to be maintained well into the future. In years 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, there are 61, 23, 11, 8 and 6 libraries requiring grand-parenting, amounting to \$667,131; \$215,977; \$126,085; \$94,611 and \$68,490, respectively.
- ✓ Government should acknowledge the significant role municipalities play in funding Ontario's libraries and encourage municipalities, at a minimum, to maintain current levels of funding.
- ✓ A funding mechanism (such as the one implemented by the Government of Alberta) needs to be developed, which protects against municipal funding claw backs in response to provincial funding increases.
- ✓ Government should provide additional one-time provincial funding to support the increased operating costs that libraries face due to provincial legislation such as AODA.

## Assumptions Used in Recommended Funding Model

The proposed funding model incorporates the following assumptions:

- ✓ For First Nation libraries, the data source for 2011 population figures was Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Affairs Canada regarding on-reserve registered Indians.
- ✓ For all other libraries, the data source for 2011 population figures was Statistics Canada.
- ✓ The base line for CPI was 1995.
- ✓ The 387 provincial operating grant recipients and the individual amounts of their grants correspond to data received from the Ministry directly.

- ✓ Designation of northern versus southern libraries matches the territories of Ontario Library Services – Northern and Southern Ontario Library Services.
- ✓ Designation of rural versus urban libraries uses definitions of Statistics Canada.

### Comparison of Funding Allocations

Below is a chart that shows a comparison of how funding is allocated to the four geographic segments for the recommended model versus the existing model:

Library Location	Percent of Libraries	Number of Libraries	Percent of Population Served	Population Served	Percent of Funds Allocated to Each Group		Effective Per Capita Funding in Each Group	
					Existing Funding	Proposed Year 5 Funding Model	Existing Funding	Proposed Year 5 Funding Model
<b>Rural + South</b>	32.8%	127	14.0%	1,795,348	19.5%	15.0%	\$2.03	\$4.24
<b>Urban + North</b>	6.2%	24	4.0%	505,024	5.6%	4.0%	\$2.06	\$4.05
<b>Rural + North</b>	38.3%	148	1.7%	222,808	3.5%	5.5%	\$2.97	\$12.51
<b>Urban + South</b>	22.7%	88	80.3%	10,280,679	71.4%	75.5%	\$1.30	\$3.74